	LOT 06 - RE-SUBMITTED SYNOPSIS EVALUATION STATUS (JUNE 2020 TEE)						
Sr.	EEID	Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme- Course Code	Status of synopsis	Your overall comments / suggestions	Fresh/Re-submission
1	EEMPCAT04	176719890	Alkesh Kubadia	MAPC-MPCE26	Not Approved	Maslow scale rating scale may mismatch to Stat technique & questionnaire not included, More relevant studies related to effect of pandemic on mental health required, Specific studies related to Garment workers if any? can be included, heading should be Null-hypo, & not Hypo, Deign not specified, Specify IV, DV, Check for control variables like corona infected or not, family- emotional support, age, comorbidity etc., Effect of job/work loss or Pandemic is to be clarified, or controlled as much possible	Re-Submission
2	EEMPCAT04	188434920	Vijaya Jegathessan	MAPC-MPCE26	Approved with modification	Hypo not framed properly, Scale SIAS not matching exactly to the variable named	Re-Submission
3	EEMPCAT04	192025715	Audrey Correa	MAPC-MPCE16	Not Approved	<ol> <li>many earlier suggestions not implemented 2. hypothesis not specific and clear, iv and dv not specified</li> </ol>	Re-Submission
4	EEMPCAT04	176715964	sarika pandit	MAPC-MPCE26	Approved with modification	hypothesis not framed properly, why parametric test can't be used?,	Re-Submission
5	EEMPCAT04	185129401	shweta nair	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved with modification	hypothesis not framed properly, statistical technique to test hypothesis not given	Re-Submission
6	EEMPCAT04	192018924	pankaj singh	MAPC-MPCE16	Not Approved	1. iv, dv mistaken, 2. objective/hypothesis not framed properly	Re-Submission
7	EEMPCAT04	176729630	kavita mishra	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved with modification	justify stat technique-why ANOVA/ Chi sq test?, mental health can be confounding variable control its effect	Re-Submission
8	EEMPCAT04	176713193	Zubin Dehmeri	MAPC-MPCE16	Not Approved	title not proper, hypo 1a, 1b similar in meaning, are hypothesis testable?, it is obvious that person with depressive disorder will have depression-so variable inappropriate, other depressive d.o can confound findings, IV: Gender?	Re-Submission
9	EEMPCAT04	185144665	Pravin Upadhay	MAPC-MPCE16	Not Approved	hypothesis 1 and 2 repeated, heading should be null hypothesis, on what basis age groups created to compare?, DV (a) incorrect	
10	EEMPCAT04	189761332	Nidhi Chhadwa	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved with modification	IV, DV not applicable to correlational design, justify use of ANOVA, Rating scale not discussed-include scoring details	
11	EEMPCAT04	176887225	nisha nair	MAPC-MPCE26	Approved with modification	hypothesis not framed properly, iv, dv wrong,	Re-Submission

	LOT 06 - RE-SUBMITTED SYNOPSIS EVALUATION STATUS (JUNE 2020 TEE)						
Sr.	EEID	Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme- Course Code	Status of synopsis	Your overall comments / suggestions	Fresh/Re-submission
12	EEMPCAT04	166856342	ronita dsouza	MAPC-MPCE16	Not Approved	hypothesis not framed properly, Ho2, Ho4 & stat technique inappropriate, how you can predict you you will get adequate no of Ss in each cell of ANOVA?	Re-Submission
13	EEMPCAT04	192009410	smita bhandari	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved	its null hypo	Re-Submission
14	EEMPCAT04	188442254	kiran khetwani	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved with modification	hypo 3 not clear, add scales, give rating scales	Re-Submission
15	EEMPCAT04	181782373	gagan shinde	MAPC-MPCE26	Approved with modification	criterion for drug duration years-more vs less not given?, rationale for it?, hypotheses not framed properly, design-hypo inappropriate	Re-Submission
16	EEMPCSV02	170385630	Misba Lakdawala	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved with modification	References incomplete, many of the studies mentioned in the ROL have not been referenced Only for self-esteem is your operational definition clear , not clear for depression Please be careful with your wording- drug abuser adolescents? Adolescents with substance abuse / dependance Read through journal articles for better phrasing The initial issue remains , you will find a correlation between depression and self-esteem in any sample, what is significant about taking a sample that has dependance issues ? Have a comparison group of teenagers without any subtstance dependance , so you can analyse how self-esteem and depression also play a role in teenagers who turn to drugs	

	LOT 06 - RE-SUBMITTED SYNOPSIS EVALUATION STATUS (JUNE 2020 TEE)						
Sr.	EEID	Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme- Course Code	Status of synopsis	Your overall comments / suggestions	Fresh/Re-submission
17	EEMPCSV02	166851770	Dharmesh Patel	MAPC-MPCE16	Not Approved	All the initial issues remain, just introduction seems better Objectives don't match hypothesis Your main IV is still demographic of location of hospital Operational definitions are not from the tests to be used References incomplete The submitted material isn't in any formal format and there are many spelling errors The statistics stated says you will use correlation , but it isn't reflected in the hypotheses Studying anxiety and depression in nurses is fine, please find a psychological variable other than private or public hospitals to study , or study a relationship between multiple psychological variables in nurses	Re-Submission
18	EEMPCSV02	192028932	Mannala Shobha Anthony	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved with modification	References to be in APA format Operational definitions are based on the scales being used, so agression as defined bu the Buss- Perry scale is your operational definition The second questionaire - Video Game survey is a little vague , is it a standardized tool or something created by the student researcher for the purposes of this study ? What purpose does this serve exactly ? The IV being children playing video games and those not playing video games. In the current scenario, its going to be difficult to find any child who isn't playing games Make the IV far more specific- specify what kind of video-games or look at the effects of any pne particular violent video-game	Re-Submission

	LOT 06 - RE-SUBMITTED SYNOPSIS EVALUATION STATUS (JUNE 2020 TEE)						
Sr.	EEID	Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme- Course Code	Status of synopsis	Your overall comments / suggestions	Fresh/Re-submission
19	EEMPCSV02	188433294	Diksha Mehta	MAPC-MPCE26	Approved with modification	Social celebrities and your examples make it clearer I think you would have to change the title though because it isn't Instagram and its effect you are measuring because, all your participants spend two hours on Instagram Reframe your title to reflect that the main difference betweeb your samples is going to be the the follwoing of social celebrities The rest is fine	Re-Submission
20	EEMPCSV02	185141960	Drashti Gala	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved	Either state null r directional hypotheses, both not required Inconsistencies in the format for the references with a few missing Rest of the details are fine	Re-Submission
21	EEMPCSV02	148133848	Dr. Arun Mishra	MAPC-MPCE36	Approved with modification	Your objective says find out factors causing stress , thats not in the scope of your study The IV issue remains - you need to specify the IV better , what do you mean yoga practitioner? , either make this aspect clearer or DROP IT ALTOGETHER Either restrict your study to the correlations , or use the IV of Yoga only if you are able to address all the questions posed when you submitted the proposal the first time References still not in APA format,	Re-Submission
22	EEMPCSV02	192022544	akshata ashish shembekar	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved with modification	Change title such that it doesn't have the words' impact on ' References not in APA format Variables, tools to measure them are correct Operational definitions to reflect the definitions used in the scales . Use the Buss-Perry definition only if that is the tool you are using for aggression Sampling technique to be added	Re-Submission